

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,386	07/03/2001	Gary R. Rogers	A7977	9876
75	590 10/24/2002			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylva Washington, Do	nnia Avenue, NW C 20037-3213		LE, THANH TAM T	
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	,

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-326 (Re	•	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 5				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Attachment	(s)						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a) (to a provisional application)							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
Application Papers							
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	1.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	•				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03.	July 2001 .					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address				
	<b>,</b>	Thanh-Tam T. Le	2839				
Office Action Summary		09/897,386 Examiner	Art Unit				
			ROGERS, GARY R.				
. 1	_ <del>,</del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				

Application/Control Number: 09/897,386

Art Unit: 2839

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 2-3, 10, 13-14 and 21are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 2-3, 10, 13-14 and 21, "at least one of said central portion" should be changed --said central portion--.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-5, 7-16 and 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hardwick, III et al. (6,321,013).

Application/Control Number: 09/897,386

Art Unit: 2839

Regarding claims 1, 12 and 23, Hardwick, III et al., figure 26, discloses a stack of optical fiber ribbons comprising:

- an outer jacket (126d)
- a central portion (120d); and
- multiple optical modules (30 read on a plurality of removable extensions
   (column 9, lines 15-18)) secured to and extending from the central portion. At least one of the extensions containing at least one optical fiber and extending from the central portion along an axis different from at least one other extension.

Regarding claims 2-3 and 13-14, the central portion and the plurality of extensions are made from a same fiber optic ribbon matrix material.

Regarding claims 4 and 15, the at least one of the extensions project along a substantially straight line from the central portion.

Regarding claims 5 and 16, means for separating the extensions from the central portion.

Regarding claims 7-9 and 18-20, at least one of the extensions has color code identifier for identification of the extension and each identifier for each extension is different from any other identifier (column 9, lines 5-14).

Regarding claims 10-11 and 21-22, the central portion and the extensions comprises at least one strength member.

Application/Control Number: 09/897,386

Art Unit: 2839

Regarding claim 24, at least two of the plurality of multi axis ribbon are intertwined with each other such that a first one of the two ribbon extends into a space created by at least two of the extension on a second of the two ribbons.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6 and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hardwick, III et al. (6,321,013) in view of Greveling et al. (5,970,196).

Hardwick, III et al. discloses the instant claimed invention as described above except for a thickness of each extension at a point the extension contacts the central portion is less than a thickness at a point the extension beyond the central portion.

Greveling et al., figure 3, disclose buffer tube (20) having a removable section (30) which read on a thickness of each extension at a point the extension contacts the central portion is less than a thickness at a point the extension beyond the central portion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hardwick, III et al. to have the removable section as taught by Greveling et al., in order to easy separation of the buffer tube for access to optical fibers in the tube (Greveling et al.'s abstract).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/897,386

Art Unit: 2839

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh-Tam T. Le whose telephone number is (703) 306-5711. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

TL. October 22, 2002

LYNN FIELD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800